

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/763,274	TERAO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Parul Gupta	2627	

All Participants:

(1) Parul Gupta.

(2) Juan Carlos Marquez.

Status of Application: allowable

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 June 2007

Time: 1 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

15

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 WAYNE YOUNG
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An amendment was necessary as the new claims did not include the same limitations as the previous claims. Thus, the same limitation had to be included to clarify the reasoning for performing the given functions of the apparatus to overcome the prior art of record..